\$6 ⁷⁷ \$	1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
Year.	2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
	3	000		
	4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL	WATER DISTRICT,)	
	5		Plaintiff,)	
	6	vs.)) Ca	ase No. RCVRS51010
	7	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,)	
	8		Defendants.)	
	9)	
	10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS		
	11	BEFORE HON. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE		
	12	DEPARTMENT C-1		
	13	CHINO, CALIFORNIA Friday, December 11, 2009		
	14	APPEARANCES:		
	15	For Chino Basin Watermaster:	BROWNSTEIN, HYATT, BY: SCOTT S. SLATE	
	16	watermaseer.	Attorney at Law and	R
	17		MICHAEL T. FIFE Attorney at Law	
	18	For Three Valleys	BRUNICK, MCELHANEY	ג. פבירעביייי
	19	Municipal Water District:	BY: STEVEN M. KENN Attorney at Law	
	20	For Cucamonga Valley	BEST, BEST & KRIEGE	D
	21	Water District:	BY: JILL N. WILLIS Attorney at Law	
	22	For the City of	NOSSAMAN, LLP	
	23	Ontario:	BY: FREDERIC A. FU Attorney at Law	DACZ
	24	COPY	neserne, de zan	
	25	(Appearances	continued on follow	ing page.)
	26	(ppoaramoed	TILLING OIL LOLLOW	J Page./
	27	Reported by:	VICTORIA E. VILLEGA	S. CSR NO. 9843
	28	±	Official Reporter	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Andrew Company	1	(Appearances continued:)		
	2 3		BY: STEVEN G. LEE	
	4	Basin:	Attorney at Law	
	5 6		ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: JENNIFER F. NOVAK Deputy Attorney General	
	7	For the Monte Vista	MCCORMICK, KIDMAN & BEHRENS, LLP BY: TRAM TRAN Attorney at Law JENKINS & HOGIN, LLP BY: JOHN C. COTTI	
	8	Water District:		
	9			
	10	For the City of Chino Hills:		
	12		Attorney at Law	
	13	For the Inland Empire Utilities Agency:	CIHIGOYENETCHE, GROSSBERG & CLOUSE BY: JEAN CIHIGOYENETCHE	
	14		Attorney at Law	
	15	Also Present:	DAVID CROSLEY	
	16		KEN JESKE	
	17		BEN PAK	
	18		MARSHA WESTROPP	
	19		KEN MANNING	
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

CHINO, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2009

A.M. SESSION

HON. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE

(Appearances as noted on title page.)

(Victoria E. Villegas, Official Reporter,

-000-

THE COURT: This is -- we are going on the record in the Watermaster case. This is an initial hearing scheduled so we could get this case back on track after Judge Wade's retirement.

I have been reviewing the transcripts from the previous hearings. Judge Wade had all of the hearings over the last year transcribed, and I've been reading through them. And I wanted to let you know that I do have some familiarity with this case because when I was a research attorney in 2000, 2002 over in -- sorry -- 2000, 2001 over in the Rancho Cucamonga courthouse, I worked with Judge Gunn on this case, so I got some familiarity with it at that time. I know my information is old. The major event that happened while I was involved in this case over in Rancho was the Peace Agreement, and so that was a huge deal at that time.

And then I moved onto other things. I've went over to the state bar court. I was a state bar court judge for a year, and a commissioner in Los Angeles County for three years. Then I've been back out here in San Bernardino County as a judge since 2005. So that was the purpose for my calling this hearing so that I could introduce myself to you, get a

```
1
     status on what's going on.
 2
               I understand there's been some recent legislation.
 3
     I don't know how that's affecting this case. I'd be
     interested in hearing some information about that.
 5
               So having given that kind of very general
     introduction of myself, is there someone who would like to
 6
     take the lead and give me some initial information?
 7
 8
               Counsel, please.
 9
               MR. SLATER: Your Honor, Scott Slater, general
     counsel for Watermaster, and along with me today is Michael
10
11
     Fife.
               THE COURT: Could you spell Mr. Fife's name for the
12
13
     record, please?
14
               MR. FIFE: F- as in "Frank" -i-f again -e, your
15
     Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17
               MR. SLATER: And Ken Manning who is chief executive
     of Watermaster.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, Mr. Manning.
20
               MR. MANNING: Hello.
21
               THE COURT: M-a-n-n-i-n-q?
22
               MR. MANNING: Correct.
23
               MR. SLATER: And Slater is S-1-a-t-e-r.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you.
25
               MR. SLATER: If it pleases Your Honor, I think that
     we have gone through an extended process with Judge Wade
26
27
     following his replacement for Judge Gunn. Michael Fife is
28
     prepared to summarize the status of the present matter, and
```

1 I'd be happy to address the subject of the legislation in the 2 context of what we're trying to accomplish under the Peace 3 Agreement and Peace II. THE COURT: Great. Okay. Mr. Fife, then. 5 MR. SLATER: Michael. 6 MR. FIFE: Yes, your Honor. 7 You're welcome to come up to counsel THE COURT: table, or however you're comfortable addressing the court is 8 9 fine with me. 10 MR. FIFE: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. FIFE: Your Honor, as you know, we --13 THE COURT: Make yourselves comfortable. 14 MR. FIFE: -- we went through a period -- I'll do 15 this (demonstrating) in case --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. FIFE: We went through a long and extended process of a series of educational hearings for Judge Wade, as 18 19 you know. We're mindful that in some -- there were a lot of power point presentations being given, and in some cases that 20 might make the transcript difficult to glean information from. 21 22 So we could provide further education to Your Honor if you're 23 interested. 24 THE COURT: Always interested. 25 MR. FIFE: At the conclusion of that there was a 26 case management order which Judge Wade approved. 27 there was a confusion before he left about the actual 28 signature on that order, but that's from a September 17th

hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: That was the last hearing that we had with Judge Wade. He did indicate an intent to sign that order, and that case management order was intended to provide you with a starting point for your coverage of the case.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. FIFE: And that order indicated that Watermaster is in compliance with all of its current deadlines with implementation of the OBMP. And the next -- the next deadline that we have is to submit what is called our Recharge Master Plan --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: -- to you for approval in July of this year.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: But before that we have no deadlines that will require court approval or court supervision.

THE COURT: Okay. Question on that then. With the Recharge Master Plan being due in July, is that presented to the court as a single document to be reviewed and approved, or are there hearings that lead up to that? What's the process?

MR. FIFE: The process will be at your pleasure, whatever is the most useful for you. It will be a single document that we -- would be submitted to you, along with a transmittal pleading from Watermaster that would explain the standards that you would employ to approve it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FIFE: You would provide -- there would be court approval of it. And we would anticipate a hearing. And it would be our hope that this would be an uncontested hearing and that Watermaster would come for approval. You would have the parties in front of you like this, and if anybody had an objection to the content of the plan, they would voice it.

THE COURT: Okay. At that hearing.

MR. FIFE: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: I think as the court will become familiar, there are express procedures built into the judgment for review and approval of Watermaster actions. And in this case there's not only the judgment that requires the court approval, but the earlier order of the court focused on the importance of the Recharge Master Plan and we are following that process. So as this final plan makes its way to you, it will have been vetted thoroughly through the Watermaster process over a period of approximately two-and-a-half years, having gone through draft stages, been filed and been vetted through party comments. So it won't be finding its way to you as a new document.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate that. Thank you.

All right. Mr. Fife, anything else at this time?

MR. FIFE: None, unless you have questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Slater?

MR. SLATER: Yes, your Honor. I think we are pleased, I want to emphasize, that there are no contested

present motions before Your Honor, and that we are focused on completing the next important task which is the completion of the Recharge Master Plan.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: So we'd expect to see you in July.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: In the context of the broader issues that are facing us, the court may be aware of the recent round of the legislation that was passed.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SLATER: There are provisions in that -- in that plan that have some direct application to a lot of areas but fortunately for us -- or sorry -- with regard to monitoring water withdrawals, the quantity of water and the overlying activities, because of the progressive activities or the progressive monitoring that has occurred in this basin for the last 20 years, and more focused in the last ten, we are actually exempt or are qualifying through our present monitoring activities, so there won't be any form of a superimposition of the state standards because our standards do satisfy the criteria.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: The second element of the legislation, besides the monitoring of ground water, is the availability of funds. It -- the legislation contemplates new moneys being made available under a bond. And if that bond indeed passes, there are provisions in the bond that would allow money to be made available for various activities in the basin, including

the Recharge Master Plan, and potentially other things such as the desalter activities which are occurring in the southwesterly portion of the basin. THE COURT: Okav. MR. SLATER: So to the extent that that third party money or bonds or grants become available, that can defray local costs and make the projects more economical and more financially feasible. THE COURT: Good. Appreciate that. Anything further at this time, Mr. Slater? MR. SLATER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Fife? MR. FIFE: No. THE COURT: Let me get all your appearance so I can start to associate faces with names. I see counsel standing here on my right. Could I get your name and appearance? MR. KENNEDY: Thank you, your Honor. Steve Kennedy on behalf of the Three Valleys Municipal Water District. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kennedy, give me just a half There it is. Okay. Thank you. Got it. Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. Ma'am? MS. WILLIS: Jill Willis, W-i-l-l-i-s, on behalf of Cucamonga Valley Water District. THE COURT: Okay. Let me find you on my sheet, Ms. Willis. Just a moment, please. Why am I having trouble with these names? Did you give us a card?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
1
               MS. WILLIS: I did.
 2
               THE COURT: Oh, there we are. Okay. Thanks.
 3
     it. Okay. Thank you.
 4
               MR. FUDACZ: Fred Fudacz on behalf of the City of
     Ontario.
 5
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Okay, yes. I've got F-u-d-a-c-z?
 7
               MR. FUDACZ: Yes. That's my burden through life.
 8
               THE COURT: Fudacz?
 9
               MR. FUDACZ: Fudacz, that's correct.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Fudacz.
11
               MR. LEE: Steven Lee, legal counsel for the
12
     Agricultural Pool of the Chino Basin.
13
               THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yes, from Reid and Hellyer.
14
     Thank you, Mr. Lee. H-e-l-l-y-e-r. S-t-e-v-e-n.
15
               MS. NOVAK: Jennifer Novak, N-o-v-a-k, deputy
16
     attorney general representing the California Department of
17
     Corrections and Rehabilitation which is the State of
18
     California representative with respect to its water rights.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
20
     counsel. Nice to meet you.
21
               And, ma'am, your appearance?
               MS. TRAN: Good morning. Tram Tran on behalf of
22
23
     Monte Vista Water District.
24
               THE COURT:
                           T-r-a-m, T-r-a-n. Thank you, Ms. Tran.
25
               Counsel?
               MR. COTTI: John Cotti, C-o-t-t-i, for the City of
26
27
     Chino Hills.
28
               THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Cotti. I've got you.
```

```
1
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: You have trouble with Fudacz.
 2
               MR. SLATER: Just pull out the alphabet.
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: Jean Cihigoyenetche. I
 3
     represent the Inland Empire Utilities Agency.
 4
               THE COURT: You know, I've seen your name so many
 5
     times and I've heard Judge Gunn say it.
 6
 7
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: Cihigoyenetche.
 8
               THE COURT: Ready for this? J-e-a-n,
     C-i-h-i-g-o-y-e-n-e-t-c-h.
 9
10
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: e.
11
               THE COURT: e. Sorry. The photocopy machine cut
12
     off the last letters of your name.
13
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: Happens all the time.
14
               THE COURT: Cihigoyenetche.
15
               MR. CIHIGOYENETCHE: Very good.
16
               THE COURT: Got it. Thanks. And counsel or anyone
17
     else? You are on another case.
18
               In the back.
19
               MR. CROSLEY: Good morning, your Honor. My name's
20
     David Crosley, C-r-o-s-l-e-y, the water manager for the city
21
     of Chino.
22
               THE COURT: Oh, thank you very much. Thank you very
           And anybody else here on this case then? No. That's
23
24
     another case.
25
               Oh, yes. Sir?
26
               MR. JESKE: I'm Ken Jeske, J-e-s-k-e, representing
27
     the city of Ontario as a consultant.
28
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much. Anyone else on
```

this case that I --1 2 MS. WESTROPP: I'm Marsha Westropp, W-e-s-t-r-o-p-p, 3 Orange County Water District. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Nice to 4 5 meet you, ma'am. 6 MR. PAK: Ben Pak, P-a-k, with the Chino Basin 7 Watermaster. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Nice to meet you. 9 All right. Anything further that we need to do at this time? Mr. Slater, do you want me to set a date in July 10 11 then? 12 MR. SLATER: I believe that the date is set by the 13 -- for the completion of the plans. 14 MR. FIFE: Right. The date for submittal of the 15 plan is set but not the date for the hearing. We could 16 probably wait until July just since it's --17 THE COURT: I can set a hearing for all you people on that short a notice in July? 18 19 MR. SLATER: Your Honor, I'm looking at the group. 20 August is typically a vacation month. 21 THE COURT: Right. 22 MR. SLATER: If we are going to submit the paperwork 23 in July, perhaps a September hearing date --24 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 25 MR. SLATER: -- would be wise to allow the parties 26 appropriate time to digest the plan, file their papers. 27 without objection from counsel, a September hearing date on 28 the Recharge Master Plan would be useful.

THE COURT: Want me to go ahead and set that now to put it on your calendars?

MR. SLATER: That would be great, your Honor.

THE COURT: Give me just a moment, please.

Here's something else I needed to tell you folks about. You might have noticed I set this at an odd time, 10:30 on a Friday, because with the regular calendar that I have in this courtroom -- it's a criminal calendar. We're really busy everyday. I handle all aspects of criminal cases from arraignment through trial. We do trials in the afternoons here. So Fridays are really the only -- generally the only day I have available for these hearings.

Now, the reason why I set it at 10:30 is I didn't want to spoil your afternoon. I can set it at 1:30 but that's kind of my only available date -- day of the week, I should say, to set these hearings. And even on Fridays I have a calendar that it -- mixes in with something as significant as the Watermaster case -- they're small claims appeals and unlawful detainers and traffic court trials. So I'm willing to work with counsel on setting up a date when you want to come in. I could do this during the week.

MR. SLATER: Your Honor, I think Fridays are a convenient day for Watermaster. Our board meetings and committee meetings tend to happen on Thursday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: So Friday is a good date for us. And I think as -- I think it would be our preference given the commutes involved to do it at -- a 10:30 hearing time is fine.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll just keep the Friday at 1 2 10:30 hearing date. I see general nodding so I quess 3 everybody is okay with that. We will keep that. And let's 4 come back on this again, and it looks like a Friday in September. How about the 17th, Friday the 17th -- the 10th, 5 6 the 17th or the 24th. 7 MR. SLATER: I think 17th or the 24th. 8 THE COURT: How about -- how about the last Friday 9 in September then? 10 MR. SLATER: 24th. 11 THE COURT: Does that sound good? 12 MR. SLATER: That would be good, your Honor. THE COURT: 13 Okay. So I understand -- counsel was 14 kind enough to fill me in a little bit earlier on how the 15 notice provisions work on this because when I looked at the 16 court file and saw our own court had only notified four parties, and then I looked at some of the proofs of service, I 17 18 thought something -- there was a disconnect with one -- one of our counsel -- I'm so bad with names. Mr. Cotti, I believe --19 20 MR. COTTI: And Ms. Tran. 21 THE COURT: -- filled me in earlier on how the notice provisions work; that Watermaster -- the court sets the 22 date and Watermaster notifies the rest of the parties. 23 24 MR. SLATER: That's correct, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: So let's set the hearing date for the 26 Recharge Master Plan for September -- did I say 24? 27 MR. SLATER: 24th.

September 24th at 10:30 a.m. in this

28

THE COURT:

department, and that will be the -- that will be the next hearing then unless something comes up in the meantime. MR. SLATER: That would be great. THE COURT: Thank you very much. MR. SLATER: Thank you for your time. THE COURT: Thank you for your attendance and appreciate your time. MR. FIFE: One logistical matter with your permission. We tend to try to work with the reporter with regard to transcripts, and I can explain all the details to her. THE COURT: Ms. Villegas is very competent and accommodating. MR. FIFE: Thank you. THE COURT: So please feel free to do that. MR. FIFE: Thank you, your Honor. (Proceedings in the above-entitled matter were concluded.) --000--

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO				
3	000				
4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,)				
5	Plaintiff,				
6	vs. , Case No. RCVRS51010				
7	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,) REPORTER'S				
8) CERTIFICATE Defendants.)				
9	/·				
10	I, VICTORIA E. VILLEGAS, CSR, Official Reporter of				
11	the above-entitled court, do hereby certify:				
12	That I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the				
13	State of California, duly licensed to practice; that I did				
14	report in Stenotype oral proceedings had upon hearing of the				
15	aforementioned cause at the time and place hereinbefore set				
16	forth; that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 13,				
17	inclusive, constitute to the best of my knowledge and belief a				
18	full, true, and correct computer-aided transcription from my				
19	said shorthand notes so taken for the date of Friday, December				
20	11, 2009.				
21	Dated at Chino, California, this 22nd day of				
22	December, 2009.				
23					
24	·				
25	Colph A				
26	Metaliase (alleger) csr				
27	Official Reporter, CSR No. 9843				
28					